

Goodifying Strings

FWMC receives a string s of length n , consisting of characters s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} , as a birthday gift. Initially, every character in s is the letter 'A'.

FWMC then starts playing around with the string. The process can be described as a sequence of q queries, each is one of the following two types:

- $1 \ l \ r \ c$ — FWMC assigns all positions i in the range $[l, r]$ to the character c (that is, set $s_i = c$ for all $l \leq i \leq r$).
- $2 \ k$ — FWMC dislikes long sequences of consecutive identical characters. FWMC considers a string **good** if there is no consecutive substring¹ of more than k identical characters. Considering the current string s , FWMC wants to determine the minimum number of characters that must be deleted to make s a **good** string.

Note that FWMC just wants to know the minimum number of deleted characters, but does not make any changes to s .

Your task is to help FWMC determine the answer to each query of the second type.

Input

The first line contains two integers n and q ($1 \leq n, q \leq 300\,000$) — the length of the string and the number of queries.

Each of the next q lines describes a query. The first integer is t ($1 \leq t \leq 2$) — the type of the query.

- If $t = 1$, two integers l and r ($0 \leq l \leq r < n$) and an uppercase Latin letter c are given.
- If $t = 2$, an integer k ($1 \leq k \leq n$) is given.

Output

For each query of the second type, output a single integer — the minimum number of characters that need to be deleted to make the string **good**.

¹A string α is a substring of a string β if α can be obtained from β by the deletion of several (possibly, zero or all) characters from the beginning and several (possibly, zero or all) characters from the end.

Sample Input 1

```
11 10
1 0 1 F
1 2 10 C
1 3 8 W
1 9 9 M
1 4 6 M
2 2
2 1
2 5
1 5 7 C
2 1
```

Sample Output 1

```
1
4
0
3
```

Sample Input 2

```
12 5
1 3 7 G
1 7 11 G
1 4 5 C
1 0 6 C
2 2
```

Sample Output 2

```
8
```

Sample Explanation

The following is the explanation for the first test case.

In the first five queries, the string changes as follows:

```
AAAAAAAAAAAA → FFAAAAAAAAAA
FFAAAAAAAAAA → FFCCCCCCCCC
FFCCCCCCCCC → FFCWWWWWWCC
FFCWWWWWWCC → FFCWWWWWWMC
FFCWWWWWWMC → FFCWMMWWMC
```

In the sixth query, it is sufficient to delete one character:

```
FFCWMMWWMC → FFCWMMWWMC
```

The groups of consecutive identical characters are FF | C | W | M | MM | WW | M | C, none of which contain more than $k = 2$ identical characters, thus the final string is **good**.

In the seventh query, with $k = 1$, one possible solution to delete 4 characters is:

```
FFCWMMWWMC → FCWMWMC
```

It can be shown that there exists no solution that delete fewer characters.

In the eighth query, with $k = 5$, the current string s is already a good string, so no deletions are needed.

In the ninth query, the string changes as follows:

```
FFCWMMWWMC → FFCWMCCCWMC
```

In the tenth query, with $k = 1$, one possible solution to delete 3 characters is:

```
FFCWMCCCWMC → FCWMCWMC
```

It can be shown that there exists no solution that delete fewer characters.